

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,079	BARR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nitin C. Patel	2116

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Nitin C. Patel.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Michael G. Verga [reg.# 39,410].

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 November 2006

Time: 2:25 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *n/a*.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

29-34, 38-41, and 45 - 46.

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Nitin C. Patel 11/28/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner has initiated an interview with attorney, to correct the wrong dependency of claims 29 - 34, and 38 - 41, and to reword the claims 45 and 46.. And attorney is agreed upon and authorized the examiner to correct it by replacing "27" with --- 28-- for claims 29 -34, and 38 - 41, and rewording claims 45 -46 as per examiner's amendment ..